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Another Note on the Three Days of Darkness

John Gee

Speculation continues about the causes and consequences of the destruction in Book of Mormon lands attending the crucifixion of Jesus. Causes have ranged from “some mighty upheaval of the earth’s crust”\(^1\) to floods,\(^2\) earthquakes,\(^3\) volcanoes,\(^4\) and combinations of these.\(^5\) Assessments of consequences have ranged

---


from continents rising out of the ocean\(^6\) to assumptions that “the locality where the Book of Mormon events took place was not unrecognizably altered at the time of the crucifixion.”\(^7\) The extent of the darkness has also been discussed.\(^8\) What necessitates this note is some additional evidence from an ancient text, the relevance and significance of which is left to the reader.

In 1967, Claude Vandersleyen published the fragmentary remains of a stele erected by the Egyptian pharaoh Ahmose at Karnak.\(^9\) This remarkable and unusual stele has recently been connected with the volcanic eruption on Thera (modern Santorini).\(^10\) What merits attention are the parallels to the phraseology of the Book of Mormon. The pertinent lines of the stele inscription are as follows:

> The gods [caused] the sky to come in a tempest of r[ain], with darkness in the western region and the sky being unleashed without [cessation, louder than] the cries of the masses, more powerful than [...], [while the rain raged(?)] on the mountains louder than the noise of the cataract which is at Elephantine. Every house, every quarter that they reached [...] floating on the water like skiffs of papyrus opposite the royal residence for a period of [...] days, while a torch could not be lit in the Two Lands.\(^11\)


\(^11\) From the translation of Ritner, in ibid., 11.
The Parallels

Book of Mormon account parallels this at several points:

The Great Storm

**Tempest Stele**

"The gods [caused] the sky to come in a tempest of rain."\(^{12}\)

**Book of Mormon**

"And it came to pass in the thirty and fourth year, in the first month, on the fourth day of the month, there arose a great storm, such an one as never had been known in all the land and there was also a great and terrible tempest" (3 Nephi 8:5–6, punctuation altered).

The preserved portion of the Tempest Stele does not actually mention rain. References to rain are all restorations. The stele inscription is restored on the basis of a literary parallel;\(^{13}\) otherwise, given the state of the stele,\(^{14}\) one might be tempted to restore something else like ḫt n ḫy[w]y "tempest of smoke."\(^{15}\) In Egypt rain rarely occurs. On the other hand, the Book of Mormon rarely

---


\(^{13}\) The stele is restored on the basis of the following passage: ḫt n rdi.n=sn ḫw pt m ḫr ḫy[w]y "then they caused the sky to come in storm and rain." P. Westcar 11/14, in Adriaan de Buck, *Egyptian Readingbook* (Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten, 1963), 86. Vandersleyen, "Une tempête sous le règne d’Amosis," 133, explicitly identifies this as the source of the restoration.

\(^{14}\) Vandersleyen, "Une tempête sous le règne d’Amosis," pl. 9, line 4. About three-quarters of the first character is preserved.

\(^{15}\) Normally, ḫt:n is followed by what the storm is composed of, for example: ḫw=f ḏwt ḫw "he is like a storm of wind." P. Anastasi I 18/5, in Alan H. Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts: I* (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1911), 30*. 
mentions rain; it occurred frequently enough that only its absence merits mention.16

Caused by Divine Agency

Tempest Stele
“The gods [caused] the sky to come in a tempest of r[ain].”17

Book of Mormon
The Book of Mormon peoples are addressed by “a voice” (3 Nephi 9:1), later identifying itself as “Jesus Christ the Son of God” (3 Nephi 9:15), saying, among other things, “that great city of Zarahemla have I burned with fire, and the inhabitants thereof” (3 Nephi 9:3) and “that great city Moronihah have I covered with earth, and the inhabitants thereof” (3 Nephi 9:5).

In both cases the storm and its effects are directly attributed to deity. The Book of Mormon differs from the Tempest Stele in specific attribution to a particular god because Jesus Christ takes personal responsibility for it.

Loud Noises

Tempest Stele
“[louder than] the cries of the masses, more powerful than [. . .], [while the rain raged(?)]

Book of Mormon
“And there was terrible thunder, insomuch that it did shake the whole earth as if it

16 Helaman 11:13–17; Ether 9:30–35. The other examples are in quotations with biblical parallels, 2 Nephi 14:6; 15:6 (= Isaiah 4:6; 5:6); 3 Nephi 14:25–27 (= Matthew 7:25–27); 18:13 (= Matthew 7:25–27); but see Ether 2:24.

on the mountains louder than
the noise of the cataract which
is at Elephantine.”\textsuperscript{18} was about to divide asunder” (3 Nephi 8:6). When the ordeal
was over, “the dreadful
groanings did cease, and all the
tumultuous noises did pass
away” (3 Nephi 10:9).

The Egyptian text compares the noise of the tempest to the
water plunging down the cataract at Elephantine, for the Egyptians
a reference point for loud, constant noise. Modern equivalents
would be to say that it was louder than the crowds at a soccer (or
football) game and louder than Niagara Falls.

**Inability to Light Fires**

**Tempest Stele**

“while a torch could not be lit
in the Two Lands.”\textsuperscript{19}

**Book of Mormon**

“And it came to pass that there
was thick darkness upon all the
face of the land, insomuch that
the inhabitants thereof who had
not fallen could feel the vapor
of darkness; and there could be
no light, because of the dark-
ness, neither candles, neither
torches; neither could there be
fire kindled with their fine and
exceedingly dry wood, so that
there could not be any light at
all; and there was not any light
seen, neither fire, nor glimmer,
neither the sun, nor the moon,
nor the stars, for so great were
the mists of darkness

\textsuperscript{18} Foster and Ritner, “Texts, Storms, and the Thera Eruption,” 11. Helck,
*Historisch-biographische Texte*, 106; compare Vandersleyen, “Une tempête sous
le règne d’Amosis,” pls. 8–10.

\textsuperscript{19} Foster and Ritner, “Texts, Storms, and the Thera Eruption,” 11. Helck,
*Historisch-biographische Texte*, 107; compare Vandersleyen, “Une tempête sous
le règne d’Amosis,” pls. 8–10.
which were upon the face of the land” (3 Nephi 8:20–22).

The Book of Mormon has a more detailed description of the palpable darkness, but both accounts mention the inability to light a fire.20 This could possibly be attributable to volcanic dust.21

**Several Days of Darkness**

**Tempest Stele**

“for a period of […] days.”22

**Book of Mormon**

“And it came to pass that it did last for the space of three days that there was no light seen” (3 Nephi 8:23).

Unfortunately, the Tempest Stele breaks off at that point so we can neither determine how close the parallel is, nor compare the magnitude of the eruptions. However, the number of days in the Temple Stele must be at least two, based on sentence construction.

**Accompanied by Massive Destruction**

**Tempest Stele**

“Then His Majesty was informed that the mortuary concessions had been entered (by water), with the tomb chambers collapsed, the funerary mansions undermined, and the pyramids fallen, having been made into that which was never made. Then His Majesty commanded to restore the temples

**Book of Mormon**

The extent of the destruction is detailed in 3 Nephi 8–9 and will not be repeated verbatim here, but it includes cities that were burned (3 Nephi 8:8, 14; 9:3, 9–10), buried (3 Nephi 8:10; 9:5–6, 8), and sunk (3 Nephi 8:9, 14; 9:4, 6–8), as well as the destruction of highways (3Nephi 8:13) and geological

---

20 Indeed, it was this detail that initially drew my attention.
which had fallen into ruin in deformation (3 Nephi 8:17–
this entire land: to refurbish
the monuments of the gods,
to erect their enclosure walls,
to provide the sacred objects
in the noble chamber, to mask
the secret places, to introduce
into their shrines the cult statues
which were cast to the ground,
to set up the braziers, to erect
the offering tables, . . . to put
the land into its former
state.”23

The translator of the Egyptian text presumes to add that water
had entered the tombs and caused water damage, which is possible,
but the text has simply "q spwnt "the tombs were entered." The
remainder of the damage described in the Tempest Stele could be
assigned to seismic causes. Assessing the damage wrought in
Egypt is somewhat difficult because few if any temples survive
from either the Old or Middle Kingdom;24 whether this is attribut-
able to Hyksos depredations,25 New Kingdom renovations,26 or
the Thera eruption becomes problematic. Almost all the surviving

23 Foster and Ritner, “Texts, Storms, and the Thera Eruption,” 12. Helck,
*Historisch-biographische Texte*, 108–10; compare Vandersleyen, “Une tempête
sous le règne d’Amosis,” pls. 8–10.
of Ancient Egypt*, 2nd ed. (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1981), 170, list
“a small chapel with three statue shrines built by Amenemhat III at Medinet Madi
on the southern edge of the Fayum” as the only “structure of the Middle Kingdom
[that] still remains standing in nearly complete condition.”
25 Hatshepsut at least claimed such to have been the case; see Alan H.
88; for the inscription, see conveniently Kurt Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie
26 Amenhotep III razed the Middle Kingdom white chapel built by
Sesostris I and incorporated it into the foundation of his pylon (now the fourth
pylon) at Karnak; see Donald B. Redford, *Akhenaten: The Heretic King*
(Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984), 45; Smith and Simpson, *Art and
Architecture*, 169–70; Dieter Arnold, *Die Tempel Ägyptens: Götterwohnungen,
Kultstätten, Baudenkmäler* (Zürich: Artemis, 1992), 115–16.
temples in Egypt were built after the reign of Ahmose. Nevertheless, the massive Old Kingdom pyramids at Giza, Saqqara, and elsewhere did survive substantially intact, along with their funerary temples. Furthermore, the Nile has remained in the same general course to the present day, as evidenced by continual occupation remains at certain key sites since predynastic times. Since Egypt did not change in a drastic geological fashion, we need not consider that the Book of Mormon, when stating that “the whole face of the land was changed” (3 Nephi 8:12), must be taken to mean that continents rose out of the ocean; people after all did manage to find their way to Bountiful (3 Nephi 11:1).

**Wider Implications**

The prevailing winds leave Egypt directly in the path of the volcanic debris from Thera. The Thera eruption of ca. 1530 B.C. ejected an estimated 20 to 30 cubic kilometers of material 30 to 35 kilometers into the air, leaving rounded pumice, shells, and snails atop the destroyed palaces of the recently sacked Hyksos capital of Avaris (Tell el-Daba’a). The resultant cataclysm caused flooding and damage throughout the whole of Egypt at least as far south as Thebes (1,400 kilometers, or 875 miles, away from Thera).

---


28 Predynastic sites include Kom el-Ahmar, el-Kab, Naqada, Abydos, Nag el-Deir, and Ma’adi. These indicate a stable course for the Nile through the last few millennia.


30 For the dating, we follow the dating arguments in Foster and Ritner, “Texts, Storms, and the Thera Eruption,” 10. For the ejecta measurements, see ibid., 2.


33 Taken from the map in Baines and Málek, *Atlas of Ancient Egypt*, 54.
Assuming that the mechanism of destruction in the Book of Mormon was similarly a volcano, the close parallels suggest the following implications for the geography and archaeology of the Book of Mormon: Geographically, the area covered by an eruption depends on the amount of ejecta and the prevailing winds; but the Thera case shows that a similar eruption could easily black out areas 1,400 kilometers away. A Mesoamerican location for the Book of Mormon has the requisite volcanic activity and similar prevailing wind patterns for a volcano in the north to black out a southerly location and to cause "a more great and terrible destruction in the land northward" (3 Nephi 8:12), as more of the ejecta would fall closer to the eruption and collateral earthquake damage would be greater closer to the epicenter. Archaeologically, we would expect to find pumice (in varying degrees) accompanying occasional destruction layers dating to the time of the crucifixion for particular Book of Mormon sites.

Pliny's description of the destruction of Pompeii by Vesuvius in A.D. 79, an account which has been used in comparison with the Book of Mormon before, differs in some important respects from the descriptions in both the Tempest Stele and the Book of Mormon. For instance, Pliny does not describe loud noises or widespread massive destruction. Pliny's description of the darkness at Vesuvius differs from that of the other two sources, "Elsewhere it was day, but in that place, it was a night blacker than the densest night, which was lit, however, by torches and many various lights." Both the Book of Mormon and the Tempest Stele

---

34 Baer, "The Third Nephi Disaster," 130, considered that the only possible location in the Americas was the "west coasts of Central and South America." With Mount St. Helens this can possibly be extended up into North America. Obviously the constraints of the narrow neck of land (for a summary, see Sorenson, Geography of Book of Mormon Events, 245, 247–48, 264, 265–66, 269–70, 286, 288, 290–91, 300–301, 311, 312, 324, 345) eliminate this possibility; see also Clark, "Two Points of Book of Mormon Geography," 22–23 n. 13.


36 Pliny, Epistulae 6.16.


38 Pliny, Epistulae 6.16.17.
inform us that it was impossible to light a torch. This would imply that the volcano, if such was the mechanism of destruction in the Book of Mormon, was more powerful than Vesuvius.

Obviously, several assumptions accompany these predictions, the falsity of any of which could invalidate this hypothesis. Nevertheless, it is a specifically testable hypothesis, and it is "the possibility of overthrowing it, or its falsifiability, that constitutes the possibility of testing it, and therefore the scientific character of a theory." 39 The testing of the hypothesis I leave to the appropriate specialists.